

Proof Theory and Automated Theorem Proving
2013
Exercises
Week 7b

Lecturer: Joost J. Joosten

April 5, 2013

1 Accessible parts

Let \prec be a binary relation on the naturals. Prove that \prec restricted to Acc_\prec defines a well-founded order.

2 Essentially transfinite proofs

1. Let \mathcal{L} be the language of arithmetic with function symbols $\{+, \cdot, \text{ mod } 2, S\}$, constant 0 and relation symbols $\{=, \leq, <\}$ where the familiar symbols are interpreted as always and $\text{ mod } 2$ is a unary function mapping a number to its rest after division by two (so $x \text{ mod } 2 = 1$ in case x is odd and 0 otherwise). We can use this language to easily say that odd numbers are “bigger” than even numbers, and that odd numbers between them are compared according to the regular less-than relation and likewise for even numbers.

Write down an arithmetic formula $\varphi(x, y)$ in the language \mathcal{L} that defines (along the lines sketched above) a primitive recursive well-order \prec on \mathbb{N} so that moreover $\text{field}(\prec) = \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{otyp}(\prec) = \omega \cdot 2$.

2. Recall that in our enriched language, we have a symbol for the (characteristic function of the) above defined relation \prec . In the remainder of this exercise, we will denote this relation by \prec . For any ordinal $\alpha < \omega \cdot 2$, let $\tilde{\alpha}$ denote the natural number n so that $\text{otyp}_\prec(n) = \alpha$.

(a) Compute $\widetilde{\omega + 5}$.

(b) Since $\text{field}(\prec) = \mathbb{N}$, we let $\text{prog}_\prec(X) := \forall x (\forall y \prec x \ y \in X \rightarrow x \in X)$. Write $\neg \text{prog}_\prec(X)$ in the Tait language.

- (c) Recall that $\forall y \prec x y \in X$ is short for $\forall y (y \prec x \rightarrow y \in X)$. In our infinitary verification calculus, give a proof of

$$\neg \text{prog}_{\prec}(X), \tilde{0} \in X$$

and determine the height of this proof.

- (d) For $n \in \omega$, inductively describe a proof of

$$\neg \text{prog}_{\prec}(X), \tilde{n} \in X$$

in our infinitary verification calculus and estimate the height of such a proof as a function of n .

- (e) Describe a proof of

$$\neg \text{prog}_{\prec}(X), \tilde{\omega} \in X$$

in our infinitary verification calculus.

- (f) What is the truth-complexity of $\text{Prog}_{\prec}(X) \rightarrow \forall x x \in X$? Motivate your answer.